

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
097228,972	01/12/99	UHLENDORF	V	SCH1463C4

PM51/0301 MILLEN WHITE AND ZELANO STE 1201

STE 1201 ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I ARLINGTON VA 22201 EXAMINER
FIHULIC.D

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER
3662

DATE MAILED: 03/01/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/228,972

UHLENDORF ET AL.

Examiner

Daniel T. Pihulic

Group Art Unit 3662

Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fin accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the	
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claims		
Application Papers		
$\hfill \square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
	the priority documents have been	
☐ received.		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numl	,	
$oxed{X}$ received in this national stage application from the Ir		
*Certified copies not received:		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		
· Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	, "	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	3	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	IE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application Number: 09/228,972

Art Unit: 3662

1. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish drawings under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawings.

2. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23 recites the limitation "the generator(s)" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Dependance on claim 21 was probably intended.

3. Claims 18-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-17 of U. S. Patent No. 5,410,516 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Ultrasonic imaging with a contrast agent.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29

Application Number: 09/228,972

Art Unit: 3662

USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Pihulic whose telephone number is (703) 306-4168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza, can be reached on (703) 306-4171. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for this Technology Center is (703) 305-7687.

DANIEL T. PIHULIC PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3662